



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/621,234	07/20/2000	Wayne Jerald Henshaw	4320-241	3325
1059 7590 07/20/2009 BERESKIN AND PARR LLP/S.E.N.C.R.L., s.r.l. 40 KING STREET WEST BOX 401 TORONTO, ON M5H 3Y2 CANADA				
EXAMINER				
FORTUNA, ANA M				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
1797				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
07/20/2009		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
AND INTERFERENCES

Ex parte WAYNE JERALD HENSHAW; MAILVAGANAM
MAHENDRAN;
HENRY BEHMANN

Reissue Application 09/621,234
Patent 5,783,083
Technology Center 1700

Mailed: July 20, 2009

Before LYNN M. KRYZA, *Deputy Chief Trial Administrator*.

ORDER RETURNING UNDOCKETED APPEAL

This reissue application was electronically received by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences on July 14, 2009. A review of the reissue application revealed that it is not ready for docketing as an appeal. Accordingly, the reissue application is herewith being returned to the

Examiner to address the following matter(s) requiring attention prior to docketing.

EXAMINER'S CONSIDERATION OF REPLY BRIEF

A Reply Brief was filed on April 06, 2009, in response to the Examiner's Answer mailed February 06, 2009.

Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, §41.43 states:

(a)(1)... the primary examiner must acknowledge receipt and entry of the reply brief. In addition, the primary examiner may withdraw the final rejection and reopen prosecution or may furnish a supplemental examiner's answer responding to any new issue raised in the reply brief.

The Communication mailed June 16, 2009, is an improper acknowledgment of the Reply Brief, as it constitutes a Supplemental Examiner's Answer per MPEP§ 1208, part II. A Supplemental Examiner's Answers requires a Director or designees' approval.

CONCLUSION

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that the reissue application is returned to the Examiner:

- 1) to vacate the Communication mailed June 16, 2009; and,
- 2) generate and mail either:

a) a revised Communication properly acknowledging the Reply
Brief dated April 06, 2009 in accordance with MPEP§ 1208, part II.;

OR

b) a Supplemental Examiner's Answer with the required
signature of the Technology Center Director or designee, as
appropriate;
and

3) for such further action as may be appropriate.

If there are any questions pertaining to this Order, please contact the
Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences at 571-272-9797.

LMK/MAT

Bereskin and Parr LLP/S.E.N.C.R.L., s.r.l.
40 King Street West
Box 401
Toronto, Ontario M5H, 3Y2
Canada